3/4/79

Dear Mr. Weisberg

Thank you for your reply to my letter.

Like other researchers I have corresponded with, I share my work with you but do not expect you'll agree with all of it.

David Lifton and I differ on the source of the head shot. P B Cutler, and you, both believe the throat wound is a wound of entrance - I can accept it as an exit wound. Chris Longbottom (of Scotland) disagrees with me on the Connally wounding.

I am of the opinion that through checking and re checking of the facts we will get a clearer view of the "whole"

the Warren Commission and the HSCA did not take that approach, as you well know.

Your research is excellent and I appreciate your taking the time to respond to me on the two occasions of past. I won't bother you in the future with letters I expect answers for, but, if I may, will send any research I feel you might be interested in. I will not expect a response as I understand you are a busy man.

As far as the tape is concerned, J.W. Burke wrote me with his concerns about the authenticity of the tape. The Dallas Morning News had run a few articles in which Anthony Pellicano, Chicago voice expert, had analyzed the tape and

stated (1) the impulse on the tape were not shots and (2) the cycle was not in Dealy Plaza but on Stemmons freeway. He based this second conclusion on the fact that sirens cannot be heard until 1-2 minutes after the ~~fatal~~ assassination and fade into the distance.

Burke was of the impression that the Justice Dept. would call in experts to confirm this and beat out the "probable conspiracy" the HSCA gave us. Thus, back to the official "lone nut" view.

My article reviews what we know of the tape (the earlier analysis by Mack, the Barger analysis, the Pellicano critique, the Weiss analysis) and using testimony of Weiss and information ✓ obtained from Dr. Barger over the phone ✓ explain ~~why~~ how we can know

the cycle was in Death Valley and how you can account for the siren delay, as well as the sirens moving into the distance.

You were at the Hearings when Weiss and his colleague testified so the information will not be new to you, except for what Barger told me, but I'm sure that you wouldn't ~~(want)~~ want the whole article for a few sentences.

My work has been in the past, and probably will continue to be to review, interpret, analyze and give my viewpoints. I try ~~(to)~~ to justify my viewpoints with a strong argument - hence I don't usually have new information.

But as I said earlier, if I feel you may be interested I'll send a copy — with no expectation of a reply.

If you write a new book I'd appreciate hearing so that I may obtain a copy.

It was a pleasure to hear you speak and to meet you in Bridgewater, and I appreciate your taking the time to respond to my letters of the past. Common courtesy is always appreciated. Hope your health improves.

Best,

Jeff

Jeff Hoyle
56 Lockewood Circle
Swansea, Massachusetts
02777